



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,699	04/21/2004	Karen M. Cheves	1001.1705101	5388

28075 7590 12/13/2005

CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE
SUITE 800
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420

EXAMINER

PRASAD, SONAL

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3767

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/828,699

Applicant(s)

CHEVES ET AL.

Examiner

Sonal Prasad

Art Unit

3767

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2004.2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.2b) ☒ This action is non-final.3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.**Disposition of Claims**4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.**Application Papers**9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/25/044) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____

5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1,9,10,12,20, 21,23 & 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kelley et al (US 2004/0133223 A1).

The applied reference has a common applicant with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Kelley et al discloses the same invention as in claim 1, including a medical device, comprising: an elongate shaft having a proximal end, a distal end, a first lumen extending therethrough, and a second lumen extending therethrough; a balloon coupled to the shaft; and one or more cutting members coupled to the balloon, wherein the one or more cutting members each include a traction region that is configured to improve traction between the balloon and a target site. (Claims 1-5.)

Kelley et al discloses the same invention as in claim 9, including the medical device wherein the cutting members each include a proximally-extending connector wire and a distally-extending connector wire that are both attached to the shaft. (Fig. 1.)

Kelley et al discloses the same invention as in claim 10, including the medical device wherein the proximally-extending connector wire and the distally-extending connector wire are connected to the shaft at opposing sides of the balloon. (Fig. 1) Kelley et al

discloses the same invention as in claim 12, including a cutting balloon catheter, comprising an elongate catheter shaft; a balloon coupled to the shaft; and a cutting blade coupled to the balloon, the cutting blade including means for cutting and means for gripping thereon. (Claim 1.) Kelley et al discloses the same invention as in claim 23,

including a cutting balloon catheter, comprising an elongate catheter shaft; a balloon coupled to the shaft; and a cutting blade coupled to the balloon, the cutting blade including an uneven traction surface that is configured to improve the traction between the balloon and a target site. (Claim 8). Kelley et al discloses the same invention as in

claim 24, including a medical device for expanding an intravascular lesion, comprising: an elongate shaft having a proximal end, a distal end, a first lumen extending therethrough, and a second lumen extending therethrough; a balloon coupled to the shaft; one or more cutting members coupled to the balloon; and means for improving traction between the balloon and the intravascular lesion. ([004] & [0019])

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

5. Claims 2-8, 11, 13-19, & 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelley et al (US 2004/0133223 A1). Kelley discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Kelley does not disclose the various shapes or the undulations of the cutting members as claimed. However, these parameters are deemed to be matters of design choice, well within the skill of the ordinary artisan, obtained through routine experimentation in determining optimum results.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sonal Prasad whose telephone number is 571-272-3383. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571)272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sonal Prasad
Examiner
Art Unit 3767

KEVIN C. SIMONS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

